



# DRAFT DECISION NOTICE AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT 2020 QUARTZ NOMINATIONS PROJECT **U.S. FOREST SERVICE** CADDO-WOMBLE RANGER DISTRICT MONTGOMERY COUNTY, ARKANSAS

## **DECISION**

Based upon my review of the 2020 Quartz Nominations Project Environmental Assessment (EA), I have decided to implement the Proposed Action, which includes allowing quartz exploration and mining operations on four tracts of land consisting of 330 acres in Montgomery County, Arkansas. A narrative description of the selected alternative, and associated project design criteria are provided on pages 1-5 of the EA.

#### **DECISION RATIONALE**

The purpose of this action is to allow the extraction of quartz as required by 36 CFR 228C and FSM 2850 Supplement: R8-2800-2002-2. According to the Mining and Minerals Policy Act of 1970, it is the continuing policy of the federal government to foster and encourage private enterprises in the exploration, development and production of mineral and energy resources. The nomination fees for 10 separate tracts has been submitted to the Caddo-Womble Ranger District, and the Forest Service must now determine whether quartz explorations and mining should be completed on these tracts. This action responds to the goals and objectives outlined in the Ouachita National Forest Revised Land and Resource Management Plan (Revised Forest Plan). It follows the Management area 21 design criteria 21.10; common variety mineral-related operations can have no more than one-half acre of surface impact unreclaimed during the course of the operation. (Revised Forest Plan, p. 110).

The 2020 Quartz Nominations Project EA documents the environmental analysis and conclusions upon which this decision is based.

# PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

A Project Announcement Letter (PAL) or "scoping letter" was mailed to interested publics on August 5, 2020. The project was also published in the Ouachita National Forest Schedule of Proposed Actions. One comment was received from Alexa Spring Water Company in response to this solicitation.

The EA was released for public review and comment on November 22, 2020; a legal notice of the 30-day comment period was published in the Arkansas Democrat Gazette. One comment was received from Alexa Spring Water Company.





# FINDINGS REQUIRED BY OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This decision is consistent with the Ouachita National Forest Revised Land and Resource Management Plan. The project was designed in conformance with the Vision, Strategy, and Design Criteria direction.

# FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The significance of environmental impacts must be considered in terms of context and intensity. This means that the significance of an action must be analyzed in several contexts such as society as a whole (human and national), the affected region, the affected interests, and the locality. Significance varies with the setting of the proposed action. In the case of a site-specific action, significance usually depends upon the effects in the locale rather than in the world as a whole. Intensity refers to the severity or degree of impact. (40 CFR 1508.27)

#### CONTEXT

The 2020 Quartz Nomination Project is located in Montgomery County, Arkansas. The project area is approximately 330 acres in size.

### **INTENSITY**

The intensity of effects was considered in terms of the following:

- 1. Impacts may be both beneficial and adverse. A significant effect may exist even if the Federal agency believes that, on balance, the effect will be beneficial.

  Consideration of the intensity of environmental effects is not biased by beneficial effects of the action. Both beneficial and adverse effects were considered. (See EA Chapter 3)
- 2. The degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety. There will be no significant effects on public health and safety. (See EA page 12)
- 3. Unique characteristics of the geographic area, such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas. There will be no significant effects on unique characteristics of the area. There are no park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, roadless areas, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers or ecologically critical areas to be affected. The effects on historic or cultural resources are disclosed in the EA. (See EA page 11)
- 4. The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be highly controversial. The effects on the quality of the human environment are not likely to be highly controversial. There is no known credible scientific controversy over the impacts of the proposed action. (See EA Chapter 3)
- 5. The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks. The Agency has considerable experience with actions like the one proposed. The analysis shows the effects are not uncertain, and do not involve unique or unknown risk (See EA Chapter 3)
- 6. The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects, or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration. The action is not likely to establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects





- because it is similar to projects that have previously been implemented and it is consistent with the direction of the Revised Forest Plan. (See EA Chapter 3)
- 7. Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts. The cumulative impacts are not significant. Past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions have been assessed, and any resulting cumulative effects are disclosed in the EA. (See EA page 12)
- 8. The degree to which the action may adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed, or eligible for listing, in the National Register of Historic Places or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources. The action will have no significant adverse effect on districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. The action will also not cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources. (See EA page 12) A letter of concurrence was received from the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) on November 30, 2020.
- 9. The degree to which the action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The Biological Assessment (BA) prepared for this project determined that the action is "likely to adversely affect" the Northern long-eared bat; however, there are no effects beyond those previously disclosed in the programmatic biological opinion on implementing the final 4(d) rule dated January 5, 2016, signed by Lynn Lewis. Any taking that may occur incidental to this project is not prohibited under the final 4(d) rule (50 CFR §17.40(o)). This project is consistent with the forest plan, the description of the proposed action in the programmatic biological opinion, and activities that do not require special exemption from taking prohibitions applicable to the northern long-eared bat; therefore, the programmatic biological opinion satisfies the Forest Service's responsibilities under ESA section 7 (a)(2) relative to the northern long-eared bat for this project (BA, p. 8). A letter of concurrence was received from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on January 7, 2021.
- 10. Whether the action threatens to violate Federal, State, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment. The action will not violate Federal, State, and local laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. Applicable laws and regulations were considered in the EA. The action is consistent with the Ouachita National Forest Revised Land and Resource Management Plan. (See EA page 12)

After considering the effects of the actions analyzed, in terms of context and intensity, I have determined that these actions will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an environmental impact statement will not be prepared.

### **OBJECTION OPPORTUNITIES**

This decision is subject to objection pursuant to 36 CFR 218 and must meet all of the requirements of 36 CFR 218.8. A written objection, including attachments, must be postmarked or received within 45 days after the date that notice of this draft decision is published in the *Arkansas Democrat Gazette*. Electronic objections in common formats (.doc, .rtf, .pdf, or .txt)





may be submitted to: objections-southern-ouachita@fs.fed.us with Subject: 2020 Quartz Nominations Project. Objections may also be faxed to (501) 321-5334 to the attention of "OBJECTION: 2020 Quartz Nominations Project," sent by mail to:

> Troy Heithecker Forest Supervisor **ATTN: Objections** P.O. Box 1270 Hot Springs, AR 71902

Or hand-delivered during normal business hours of 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays at:

> Ouachita National Forest Supervisor's Office Federal Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor 100 Reserve Street Hot Springs, AR

If an objection is received, notice of an objection resolution meeting open to the public will be posted on the Ouachita National Forest website.

### **IMPLEMENTATION DATE**

As per 36 CFR 218.12, this decision may be signed and implemented on, but not before, the fifth business day following the close of the objection filing period.

### **CONTACT**

As per 36 CFR 218.12, if no objection is received within the legal objection period, this decision may be signed and implemented on, but not before, the fifth business day following the close of the objection filing period. If an objection is filed, this decision cannot be signed or implemented until the reviewing officer has responded in writing to all pending objections.

Date

# District Ranger

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) civil rights regulations and policies, the USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means of communication for program information (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TTY) or contact USDA through the Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at http://www.ascr.usda.gov/complaint\_filing\_cust.html\_ and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all of the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by: (1) mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410; (2) fax: (202) 690-7442; or (3) email: program.intake@usda.gov.